









JOINT NOTICE TO MEMBERS

12 October 2017

ETU Delegates Vote Unanimously to Apply for Protected Industrial Action

Yesterday the combined unions delegates met to discuss Ausgrid's proposed Agreement. See the next page for a detailed summary. We have been negotiating with Ausgrid under the new owners for nine months, on top of the two years prior to that with the previous owners. **Instead of progressing in the negotiations we have gone backwards.**

Ausgrid employees have conceded:

- a four-year wage freeze
- a forced redundancy policy post-June 2020 with a cap
- the loss of almost 2,000 jobs over five years. We are doing much more work with fewer people
- many conditions stripped including take-home vehicles, on-site gyms and taxis for shift workers.

Management has given nothing and wants:

- to severely limit and weaken Consultation and Dispute Settlement clauses which were largely off the table six months ago
- a token wage rise with no backpay conditional on acceptance of a severely limited career path
- reduction of your capacity to take annual and long service leave at half pay
- to switch you to a fortnightly pay cycle
- to reduce the number of occasions you can take sick leave without a certificate from seven down to four
- to direct when you can take your RDOs.

Delegates clearly told us at the meeting that our members believe enough is enough and things must be brought to a head. The time for talking is not yet over, **but it is now time for action**. The meeting unanimously voted to empower the negotiating committee to finalise a list of protected industrial actions which were discussed in detail at the meeting. Preparation of an application to the Fair Work Commission will now proceed that basis. This is the first step we need to take to gain authorisation from the FWC to conduct a ballot of members for protected (legal) industrial action. We will keep you informed on the progress of that application.

Ausgrid is desperate to put a positive spin on their proposed agreement that would, if implemented, result in the total destruction of job security, wholesale contracting out, loss of career progression, loss of any meaningful consultation or disputation rights – all for a small wage rise. Let management know what you think of this offer – so the company understands we will not accept going backwards.

The table on the following page details the major deficiencies with the offer identified by the delegates, and the impediments to a negotiated outcome.





















| B4 - ' 1 | 11 | A | Ecc. 4 |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Major Issues | Unions' position | Ausgrid's current position | Effect on employees |
| Forced | Forced redundancy provision in | Unlimited forced | Ausgrid wants to be able |
| redundancy | the agreement at the end of the job | redundancies in the | to terminate an unlimited |
| provision | guarantee period but with an | agreement at the end of the | number of employees |
| | annual cap to prevent mass | job guarantee period | |
| | termination of employment after | | |
| | June 2020 | | |
| Contracting | Tighter controls on contracting out | No change to contracting out | Ausgrid wants to be able |
| out clause | to limit Ausgrid's ability to use | | to contract out an |
| | contract labour to undercut our | | unlimited amount of jobs |
| | members | | |
| Dispute | Retain current "status quo" | Get rid of status quo to allow | This would make |
| settlement | provision – during a dispute the | Ausgrid to implement their | disputes almost |
| procedure | work practices continue as they | intended action without | meaningless as Ausgrid |
| | were prior to the dispute until the | resolving the dispute. | would be able to do what |
| | dispute is resolved. | Limit the range of issues | they wanted irrespective |
| | Ability to dispute anything related to | which can be placed in | of the dispute. |
| | the employment relationship | dispute. | |
| Consultation | Retain current consultation clause | Have consultation limited to | This would severely limit |
| clause | which facilitates a genuine dialogue | matters which Ausgrid deems | the capacity for |
| | between management and | are "major" and have a | employees and their |
| | employees and their unions on all | "significant" effect on | representatives to be |
| | change | employees. | involved in genuine |
| | | Have consultation required on | consultation. All issues |
| | | the effects of the change only | that Ausgrid deems |
| | | after Ausgrid has made a final | "minor" and/or to have a |
| | | decision to go ahead. No need | "insignificant" effect on |
| | | to consult unions unless | employees would not be |
| | | employees give consent | consulted on |
| Career | Prepared to accept a reformed | Change the current system of | Too much emphasis on |
| capability and | skills structure system but not at the | "have", "need", "use" to | managerial discretion |
| remuneration | expense of recognition of employee | progress careers to one based | for career advancement |
| (CCR) | skills and associated career | on Ausgrid determining that | and lack of clarity |
| | advancement | you must have "exceeded | around whether current |
| | | expectations" in order to | and new career paths |
| | | advance. | will be shut down |
| Pay rise | Three per cent per annum | 2.5 per cent Year 1 with two | Wage rise proposal is |
| | unconditional over four years is | per cent in Years 2,3 & 4 | too low with zero back |
| | more than reasonable | only possible if we accept | pay. Making pay rises |
| | considering the four-year wage | their CCR | conditional on the |
| | freeze our members have | | current CCR proposal is |
| | experienced | | taking with one hand |
| | | | and giving back less |
| | | | with the other |
| | | | |









